

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/691,818	10/23/2003	Dan M. Ionel	010121-9939	6305		
23409	7590 03/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			TAMAI,	TAMAI, KARL I		
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2834			
•			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Í	N	ŧ	١
1	, ,		1

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/691,818	IONEL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tamai I.E. Karl	2834

Advisory Action	10/691,818	IONEL ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tamai I.E. Karl	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED 22 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprisionally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15, 17-20, 31, 33-39, and 42-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered a necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,	/		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2/13/2006					
13.	KARL TAMAI PRIMARY EXAMINEF	7 Call he			
		Tamai I.E. Karl Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 2834

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The first and second interfaces inhibiting outer radial movement of the ferromagnetic material of claims 1 and 33, the not magnetized portion of claim 19, and the new claims 56-63 are new issues requiring further search and consideration.